arXiv:1410.2732v1 [quant-ph] 10 Oct 2014

Local numerical range for a class of $2 \otimes d$ hermitian operators

J. Jurkowski, A. Rutkowski, D. Chruściński

Institute of Physics, Nicolaus Copernicus University
Grudziadzka 5, 87–100 Toruń, Poland

Abstract

A local numerical range is analyzed for a family of circulant observables and states of composite $2 \otimes d$ systems. It is shown that for any $2 \otimes d$ circulant operator $\mathcal{O}$ there exists a basis giving rise to the matrix representation with real non-negative off-diagonal elements. In this basis the problem of finding extremum of $\mathcal{O}$ on product vectors $|x\rangle \otimes |y\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ reduces to the corresponding problem in $\mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$. The final analytical result for $d = 2$ is presented.

1 Introduction

For any linear operator $\mathcal{O}$ acting in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ one defines its *numerical range* [1]

$$\mathrm{NR}(\mathcal{O}) := \{\ \langle\psi|\mathcal{O}|\psi\rangle \mid \psi \in \mathcal{H}\ ,\ ||\psi|| = 1\ \}\ . \tag{1}$$

Clearly, $\mathrm{NR}(\mathcal{O})$ defines a subset of the complex plane. Now, if $\mathcal{O}$ is hermitian then $\mathrm{NR}(\mathcal{O}) = [\lambda_{\min}, \lambda_{\max}]$, where $\lambda_{\min}$ and $\lambda_{\max}$ denote the minimal and maximal eigenvalue of $\mathcal{O}$. Recently, more specific characterization of the hermitian operator called *restricted numerical range* has been introduced in order to describe the interval of expectation values for some specific sets of vectors in $\mathcal{H}$ [3]. In particular, if $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_2$ one introduces the notion of *local (product) numerical range* [4]

$$\mathrm{LNR}(\mathcal{O})\ =\ \{\langle x \otimes y|\mathcal{O}|x \otimes y\rangle\ :\ ||x|| = ||y|| = 1\ ,\ |x\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_1\ ,\ |y\rangle \in \mathcal{H}_2\}\,. \tag{2}$$

It is clear that if $\mathcal{O}$ is hermitian then

$$\mathrm{LNR}(\mathcal{O}) = [\gamma_{\min}, \gamma_{\max}]\ \subseteq\ \mathrm{NR}(\mathcal{O}) = [\lambda_{\min}, \lambda_{\max}]\ .$$

It turns out that the notions of various restricted numerical ranges are useful in many branches of quantum information theory (see [3, 5, 6] for details). For example any entanglement witness W can be written in the following form [7, 8, 9, 10]

$$W = \chi \mathbb{1} - \mathcal{O}\,,$$

for some hermitian operator $\mathcal{O}$ and a positive number χ. Now, the necessary condition for W to be an entanglement witness is $\chi > \gamma_{\max}$. In practice, it is very hard to determine LNR for a given hermitian operator. In this paper we limit ourselves to the case when $\mathcal{O}$ acting on $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ belongs to a class of circulant operators [11] (see also [12, 13]).

The paper is organized as follows. Sect. 2 is devoted to some basic definitions and properties of circulant bipartite operators. In Sect. 3, we emphasize that it is always possible to bring a matrix representing the circulant operator to the so-called *real* form using a local unitary transformation. In Sect. 4 we show how to carry out calculations of the local numerical range for circulant operators. The final analytical result for $d = 2$ is presented in Sect. 5 together with some instructive examples.

2 Circulant operators in $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$

Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$ and let $\{|g_i\rangle \otimes |f_k\rangle\}$ $(i = 1, 2,\ k = 1, \ldots, d)$ be an orthonormal product basis in $\mathcal{H}$. One defines the family of 2-dimensional subspaces Σ_k in $\mathcal{H}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma_1 &= \mathrm{span}\Big\{|g_1\rangle \otimes |f_1\rangle, |g_2\rangle \otimes |f_2\rangle\Big\}, \\
\Sigma_2 &= \mathrm{span}\Big\{|g_1\rangle \otimes |f_2\rangle, |g_2\rangle \otimes |f_3\rangle\Big\} \\
&\vdots \\
\Sigma_d &= \mathrm{span}\Big\{|g_1\rangle \otimes |f_d\rangle, |g_2\rangle \otimes |f_1\rangle\Big\}.
\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that Σ_k give rise to the direct sum decomposition [11, 19]

$$\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^d \;=\; \bigoplus_{k=1}^{d} \Sigma_k \,. \tag{3}$$

We shall call (3) a *circulant decomposition*. Now, we call a linear operator $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ to be *circulant operator* withe respect to a circulant decomposition (3) iff

$$\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathcal{O}_d \;, \tag{4}$$

where $\mathcal{O}_k$ is supported on Σ_k, that is,

$$\mathcal{O}_k = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2} a_{ij}^{(k)} |g_i\rangle\langle g_j| \otimes |f_{i+k}\rangle\langle f_{j+k}| \,, \quad \mod d \;, \tag{5}$$

and $||a_{ij}^{(k)}||$ is a 2×2 complex matrix. In particular, for $d = 2$ and $d = 3$ we obtain the following matrix representations of the circulant operators (in the basis $|g_i\rangle \otimes |f_k\rangle$)

$$\begin{pmatrix}
a_{11}^{(2)} & \cdot & \cdot & a_{12}^{(2)} \\
\cdot & a_{11}^{(1)} & a_{12}^{(1)} & \cdot \\
\cdot & a_{21}^{(1)} & a_{22}^{(1)} & \cdot \\
a_{21}^{(2)} & \cdot & \cdot & a_{22}^{(2)}
\end{pmatrix}, \quad
\begin{pmatrix}
a_{11}^{(3)} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & a_{12}^{(3)} & \cdot \\
\cdot & a_{11}^{(1)} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & a_{12}^{(1)} \\
\cdot & \cdot & a_{11}^{(2)} & a_{12}^{(2)} & \cdot & \cdot \\
\cdot & \cdot & a_{21}^{(2)} & a_{22}^{(2)} & \cdot & \cdot \\
a_{21}^{(3)} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & a_{22}^{(3)} & \cdot \\
\cdot & a_{21}^{(1)} & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & a_{22}^{(1)}
\end{pmatrix}, \tag{6}$$

where to make the picture more transparent we replaced all zeros by dots. Interestingly for $d = 2$ the circulant matrix displays characteristic X-shape. Such 2-qubit states have been recently investigated in [14, 15, 16, 17, 18]. In the following we limit ourselves to circulant states and

observables, i.e. hermitian circulant matrices, only. Let us introduce a more convenient notation and denote by

$$w_{ik} = a_{ii}^{(k-i)} \ , \quad \mod d \ ,$$

for $i = 1, 2$, $k = 1, \ldots, d$, and

$$a_{12}^{(k+2)} = u_k e^{i\alpha_k} \ ,$$

where $u_k = |a_{12}^{(k+2)}| \geq 0$, and $\alpha_k \in (-\pi, \pi]$. As a consequence, the general circulant observable reads

$$\mathcal{O} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{d} w_{ik} |g_i\rangle\langle g_i| \otimes |f_k\rangle\langle f_k| + \Big(\sum_{k=1}^{d} u_k e^{i\alpha_k} |g_1\rangle\langle g_2| \otimes |f_k\rangle\langle f_{k+1}| + \text{h.c.} \Big) \ , \tag{7}$$

where as usual h.c. stands for hermitian conjugation.

3 Real representation of circulant operators

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an hermitian circulant operator living in $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$. One has the following

Proposition 1 *There exists an orthonormal product basis $\{|g_i'\rangle \otimes |f_k'\rangle\}$ such that*

1. *$\mathcal{O}$ is circulant with respect to the circulant decomposition constructed out of $\{|g_i'\rangle \otimes |f_k'\rangle\}$,*
2. *matrix elements of $\mathcal{O}$ with respect to $\{|g_i'\rangle \otimes |f_k'\rangle\}$ satisfy:*

$$w_{ik}' = w_{ik} \ , \quad a_{12}^{(k+2)'} = |a_{12}^{(k+2)}| = u_k \ . \tag{8}$$

Proof. Let $|g_i'\rangle = U_1 |g_i\rangle$ and $|f_k'\rangle = U_2 |f_k\rangle$, where U_1 and U_2 are unitary operators with the following matrix representations in the original basis $|g_i\rangle$ and $|f_k\rangle$:

$$U_1 = D[1, e^{i\mu_1}] \ , \quad U_2 = D[1, e^{i\mu_2}, \ldots, e^{i\mu_d}] \ , \tag{9}$$

where $D[a_1, \ldots, a_k]$ denotes diagonal $k \times k$ matrix with diagonal entries $a_1, \ldots, a_k$. One has

$$\mathcal{O} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{d} w_{ik} |g_i'\rangle\langle g_i'| \otimes |f_k'\rangle\langle f_k'| + \Big(\sum_{k=1}^{d} u_k e^{i\vartheta_k} |g_1'\rangle\langle g_2'| \otimes |f_k'\rangle\langle f_{k+1}'| + \text{h.c.} \Big), \tag{10}$$

where the phases ϑ_k satisfying the following relations $(\mod(2\pi))$

$$\begin{aligned} \vartheta_1 &= \alpha_1 - \mu_1 - \mu_2 \, , \\ \vartheta_k &= \alpha_k - \mu_1 + \mu_k - \mu_{k+1} \, , \quad k = 2, \ldots, d-1 \\ \vartheta_d &= \alpha_d - \mu_1 + \mu_d \, . \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Formula (10) proves that $\mathcal{O}$ is circulant with respect the circulant decomposition constructed out of $\{|g_i'\rangle \otimes |f_k'\rangle\}$. Now, we show that one can remove all the phases ϑ_k by the appropriate choice of μ_k. Note, that (11) may be rewritten as a matrix equation $\boldsymbol{\alpha} - \boldsymbol{\vartheta} = \boldsymbol{W}\boldsymbol{\mu}$, where the matrix $\mathbf{W}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} W_{k1} &= 1 \, , \\ W_{kk} &= -W_{k,k+1} \, , \quad k > 1 \, , \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

and the remaining elements vanish. Note that taking d-vector $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_d)$ which satisfies the matrix equation

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} = \boldsymbol{W}\boldsymbol{\mu}\,, \tag{13}$$

one finds $\boldsymbol{\vartheta} = 0$. It can be done due to the fact that $\det \boldsymbol{W} = d(-1)^{d+1} \neq 0$ which ends the proof. □

We will call the corresponding matrix representation of $\mathcal{O}$ with respect to $\{|g_i'\rangle \otimes |f_k'\rangle\}$ *real representation.*

4 Local Numerical Range for a Circulant Operator

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an hermitian circulant operator with respect to a fixed basis $|g_i\rangle \otimes |f_k\rangle$ in $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$, and let us define

$$F(x, y) = \frac{\langle x \otimes y|\mathcal{O}|x \otimes y\rangle}{\langle x \otimes y|x \otimes y\rangle}\ . \tag{14}$$

Now to provide LNM($\mathcal{O}$) one has to find $\gamma_{\min} = \inf F(x, y)$ and $\gamma_{\max} = \sup F(x, y)$. Let

$$\gamma_{\min} = F(x^-, y^-)\ , \quad \gamma_{\max} = F(x^+, y^+)\ . \tag{15}$$

One has the following

Proposition 2 *The corresponding vectors $|x^\pm\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and $|y^\pm\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^d$ have the following components with respect to basis $|g_i'\rangle$ and $|f_k'\rangle$ provided in Proposition 1*

$$|x^\pm\rangle = (x_1^\pm, x_2^\pm)\ , \quad |y^\pm\rangle = (y_1^\pm, \ldots, y_d^\pm)\ , \tag{16}$$

where

$$x_i^\pm \geq 0\ , \quad y_k^\pm \geq 0\ . \tag{17}$$

Proof. Consider e.g. $\gamma_{\min}$ and to simplify notation let us write simply $|x\rangle$ and $|y\rangle$ instead of $|x^-\rangle$ and $|y^-\rangle$, respectively. Moreover, let us introduce the following parametrization of vectors $|x\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and $|y\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^d$ in the original basis $|g_i\rangle \otimes |f_k\rangle$:

$$|x\rangle = (x_1, x_2 e^{i\beta_1})\,, \quad |y\rangle = (y_1, y_2 e^{i\beta_2}, \ldots, y_d e^{i\beta_d})\,, \quad x_1, x_2 \geq 0\,, \quad y_1, \ldots, y_d \geq 0\,. \tag{18}$$

Using (7) one obtains

$$\langle x \otimes y|\mathcal{O}|x \otimes y\rangle \;=\; \sum_{i=1}^{2}\sum_{k=1}^{d} w_{ik} x_i^2 y_k^2 + 2x_1 x_2 \sum_{k=1}^{d} y_k y_{k+1} u_k \cos\varphi_k\,, \tag{19}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1 &= \alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \beta_2\,, \\ \varphi_k &= \alpha_k + \beta_1 - \beta_k + \beta_{k+1}\,, \quad k = 2, \ldots, d-1 \\ \varphi_d &= \alpha_d + \beta_1 - \beta_d\,. \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

The extremalization procedure leads to the set of equations for real positive variables x_1, x_2, $y_1, \ldots, y_d$ and for the phases $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_d$ (see Appendix for details). In particular, phases β_k can be easily obtained in the generic case, i.e., for $x_i \neq 0$, and $y_k \neq 0$, as shown in (54). Using simple algebra (see the Appendix) one finds

$$\beta_k = -\mu_k\ , \quad k = 1, \ldots, d, \tag{21}$$

where μ_k are solutions of (13). Hence in the new basis $|g_i'\rangle \otimes |f_k'\rangle$ the phases β_k are completely removed and the components of $|x\rangle$ and $|y\rangle$ are non-negative. □

Hence, essentially LNR($\mathcal{O}$) calculations can be done in $\mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^d$ instead of $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^d$. Unfortunately, solving the set of $d+2$ polynomial equations (44), (45) is in general very hard. Keeping in mind that in the basis $\{|g_i'\rangle \otimes |f_k'\rangle\}$ all $\varphi_k = 0$, we can rewrite (44) as

$$\begin{cases} (A_1(y) - \lambda_1)x_1 + B(y)x_2 &= 0\,, \\ B(y)x_1 + (A_2(y) - \lambda_1)x_2 &= 0\,, \end{cases} \tag{22}$$

with

$$A_\ell(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{d} w_{\ell k} y_k^2\,, \qquad B(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{d} u_k y_k y_{k+1}\,.$$

Now, we obtain the nonzero solution for x_1, x_2 from a linear set of equations (22) if

$$\lambda_1^{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}\Big(A_1(y) + A_2(y) \pm \sqrt{(A_1(y) - A_2(y))^2 + 4B(y)^2}\Big)\,.$$

Let us write this solution as

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + C_\pm^2}}\,, \qquad x_2 = C_\pm x_1 = \frac{C_\pm}{\sqrt{1 + C_\pm^2}}, \tag{23}$$

where

$$C_\pm = \frac{\lambda_1^{\pm} - A_1(y)}{B(y)}$$

and the normalization of $|x\rangle$ has been taken into account. Putting (23) into (45) we arrive at the following set of d nonlinear equations for $y_1, \ldots, y_d$:

$$\Big[\frac{1}{C_\pm} w_{1k} + C_\pm w_{2k} - \lambda_2 \frac{1 + C_\pm^2}{C_\pm}\Big] y_k + u_{k-1} y_{k-1} + u_k y_{k+1} = 0\,, \quad k = 1, \ldots, d\,. \tag{24}$$

Clearly, in general the solution of (24) is not feasible. Note however that when $A_1(y) = A_2(y)$, i.e. $w_{1k} = w_{2k}$ for $k = 1, \ldots, d$, one gets $C_\pm = \pm 1$ and the set of equations (24) becomes linear.

Example 1 Let us consider circulant hermitian operator $\mathcal{O}$ in $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ represented in the standard computational basis by the following real matrix

$$M_{\mathcal{O}} \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{25}$$

The spectrum of $M_{\mathcal{O}}$ is $\{0, 1, 2, 3\}$. As a consequence, NR($\mathcal{O}$) = $[0, 3]$, whereas, as we shall see, LNR($\mathcal{O}$) = $[0.5, 2.5]$. Moreover, the upper bound $\gamma_{\max}$ is achieved at complex vectors $|x\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, i)$ and $|y\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, -i)$ and when calculating expectation values on normalized vectors from $\mathbb{R}^2 \otimes \mathbb{R}^2$ we do not go beyond 2.

In order to proof that the upper bound of LNR($\mathcal{O}$) is indeed 2.5, let us bring the observable $\mathcal{O}$ into the real form by a local unitary transformation (which does not change the ranges but does change the extremal vectors),

$$U_1 = D[1, -i]\,, \quad U_2 = D[1, i]\,. \tag{26}$$

giving rise to

$$M'_{\mathcal{O}} \;=\; U_1 \otimes U_2 M_O U_1^\dagger \otimes U_2^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{27}$$

Now, it is easy to show that for $|x\rangle = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and $|y\rangle = (y_1, y_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x \otimes y | M'_{\mathcal{O}} | x \otimes y \rangle &= 2(|x_1|^2|y_1|^2 + |x_2|^2|y_2|^2) + |x_1|^2|y_2|^2 + |x_2|^2|y_1|^2 + 4\mathrm{Re}(x_1 x_2^*)\mathrm{Re}(y_1 y_2^*) \\ &\leq 2(|x_1|^2|y_1|^2 + |x_2|^2|y_2|^2) + |x_1|^2|y_2|^2 + |x_2|^2|y_1|^2 + 1 \end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

due to $\mathrm{Re}(x_1 x_2^*) \leq 1/2$ which follows from the normalization condition $|x_1|^2 + |x_2|^2 = 1$. Equality in (28) is achieved for $|x_1| = |x_2| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and $|y_1| = |y_2| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ and therefore $\langle x \otimes y | \mathcal{O} | x \otimes y \rangle = 2.5$. Similar proof can be carried out for the lower bound $\gamma_{\min}$.

5 Local Numerical Range for $d = 2$

Consider now 2-qubit case corresponding to $d = 2$. The set of nonlinear equations (24) reduces to

$$\Big[\frac{1}{C_\pm} w_{11} + C_\pm w_{21} - \lambda_2 \frac{1 + C_\pm^2}{C_\pm}\Big] y_1 + (u_1 + u_2) y_2 \;=\; 0\,, \tag{29}$$

$$(u_1 + u_2) y_1 + \Big[\frac{1}{C_\pm} w_{12} + C_\pm w_{22} - \lambda_2 \frac{1 + C_\pm^2}{C_\pm}\Big] y_2 \;=\; 0\,. \tag{30}$$

Consider normalized vector $|q\rangle = (q_1, q_2, q_3, q_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4$. It is separable iff $q_1 q_4 = q_2 q_3$. Hence, we define

$$\widetilde{G}(q) = \langle q | M'_{\mathcal{O}} | q \rangle - \lambda_1 \Big(\sum_{j=1}^{4} q_j^2 - 1 \Big) - 2\lambda_2 (q_1 q_4 - q_2 q_3)\,,$$

where $M'_{\mathcal{O}}$ represents matrix of $\mathcal{O}$ in the basis $|g'_i\rangle \otimes |f'_k\rangle$, that is,

$$M'_{\mathcal{O}} \;=\; \begin{pmatrix} w_{11} & 0 & 0 & u_1 \\ 0 & w_{12} & u_2 & 0 \\ 0 & u_2 & w_{21} & 0 \\ u_1 & 0 & 0 & w_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad u_1, u_2 \geq 0\,, \quad w_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}\,. \tag{31}$$

Now, $d\widetilde{G} = 0$ leads to a linear matrix equation

$$\mathcal{M} |q\rangle = |0\rangle\,, \tag{32}$$

where

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda_1 + w_{11} & 0 & 0 & u_1 - \lambda_2 \\ 0 & w_{12} - \lambda_1 & u_2 + \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & u_2 + \lambda_2 & -\lambda_1 + w_{12} & 0 \\ u_1 - \lambda_2 & 0 & 0 & w_{22} - \lambda_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Obviously, this way we arrive at two separate two-dimensional linear problems. In order to obtain nonzero solutions the following condition should be fulfilled:

$$\det \mathcal{M} = d_1(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \cdot d_2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = 0\,,$$

where

$$d_1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2) = u_2^2 - w_{12}w_{21} + (w_{12}+w_{21})\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^2 + 2u_2\lambda_2 + \lambda_2^2\,, \tag{33}$$
$$d_2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2) = u_1^2 - w_{11}w_{22} + (w_{11}+w_{22})\lambda_1 - \lambda_1^2 - 2u_1\lambda_2 + \lambda_2^2\,. \tag{34}$$

Now, assuming

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} d_1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)=0 \\ d_2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)\neq 0 \end{array}\right. \quad \text{or} \quad \left\{\begin{array}{l} d_1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)\neq 0 \\ d_2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)= 0 \end{array}\right.$$

and using the separability condition, we get four possible product vectors $|g_i\rangle \otimes |f_j\rangle$,

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}\otimes\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}\otimes\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}\otimes\begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}\otimes\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix} \right\}, \tag{35}$$

whereas solving

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} d_1(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)=0 \\ d_2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)=0 \end{array}\right. \tag{36}$$

we obtain two solutions $(\lambda_1^+,\lambda_2^+)$ and $(\lambda_1^-,\lambda_2^-)$ which inserted into (32) imply the following conditions:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl} q_1 &=& a_\pm q_4 \\ q_2 &=& b_\pm q_3 \\ q_1^2+q_2^2+q_3^2+q_4^2 &=& 1 \\ q_1 q_4 &=& q_2 q_3\,. \end{array}\right. \tag{37}$$

Solving (37) and factorizing $|q\rangle = |x\rangle \otimes |y\rangle$ we arrive at

$$|q\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{\xi_\pm}{1+\xi_\pm}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\xi_\pm}} \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_\pm}{1+\kappa_\pm}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\kappa_\pm}} \end{pmatrix}\,, \tag{38}$$

where

$$a_\pm = \frac{l_\pm}{m_\pm}\,, \qquad b_\pm = \frac{g_\pm}{h_\pm}\,, \qquad \kappa_\pm = \frac{a_\pm}{b_\pm}\,, \qquad \xi_\pm = a_\pm \cdot b_\pm\,,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
l_\pm &= 2u_1^4 + 8u_1^3u_2 + 2u_2^4 \pm (u_1+u_2)(-w_{11}+w_{12}+w_{21}-w_{22})\sqrt{\Delta} \\
&\quad + u_2^2\left(-w_{11}^2 - 2w_{12}w_{21} + w_{11}(w_{12}+w_{21}) + (w_{12}+w_{21})w_{22} - w_{22}^2\right) \\
&\quad + 2u_1u_2\left(4u_2^2 - w_{11}^2 - 2w_{12}w_{21} + w_{11}(w_{12}+w_{21}) + (w_{12}+w_{21})w_{22} - w_{22}^2\right) \\
&\quad + u_1^2\left(12u_2^2 - w_{11}^2 - 2w_{12}w_{21} + w_{11}(w_{12}+w_{21}) + (w_{12}+w_{21})w_{22} - w_{22}^2\right), \\
m_\pm &= (u_1+u_2)\Big(\pm 2(u_1+u_2)\sqrt{\Delta} + (w_{11}-w_{12})(w_{11}-w_{21})(w_{11}-w_{12}-w_{21}+w_{22}) \\
&\quad + u_1^2(-3w_{11}+w_{12}+w_{21}+w_{22}) + 2u_1u_2(-3w_{11}+w_{12}+w_{21}+w_{22}) + u_2^2(-3w_{11}+w_{12}+w_{21}+w_{22})\Big), \\
g_\pm &= 2u_1^4 + 8u_1^3u_2 + 2u_2^4 \pm (u_1+u_2)(w_{11}-w_{12}-w_{21}+w_{22})\sqrt{\Delta} \\
&\quad + u_2^2\left(-w_{12}^2 - w_{21}^2 + w_{11}(w_{12}+w_{21}-2w_{22}) + (w_{12}+w_{21})w_{22}\right) \\
&\quad + 2u_1u_2\left(4u_2^2 - w_{12}^2 - w_{21}^2 + w_{11}(w_{12}+w_{21}-2w_{22}) + (w_{12}+w_{21})w_{22}\right) \\
&\quad + u_1^2\left(12u_2^2 - w_{12}^2 - w_{21}^2 + w_{11}(w_{12}+w_{21}-2w_{22}) + (w_{12}+w_{21})w_{22}\right), \\
h_\pm &= (u_1+u_2)\Big(\pm 2(u_1+u_2)\sqrt{\Delta} + (w_{11}-w_{12})(w_{12}-w_{22})(w_{11}-w_{12}-w_{21}+w_{22}) \\
&\quad + u_1^2(w_{11}-3w_{12}+w_{21}+w_{22}) + 2u_1u_2(w_{11}-3w_{12}+w_{21}+w_{22}) + u_2^2(w_{11}-3w_{12}+w_{21}+w_{22})\Big),
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$\Delta = \Big((u_1+u_2)^{\,2} + (w_{11}-w_{21})\,(w_{12}-w_{22})\Big)\,\Big((u_1+u_2)^{\,2} + (w_{11}-w_{12})\,(w_{21}-w_{22})\Big)\ .$$

Note that, in order to have real components of $|q\rangle$,

$$\xi_\pm \geq 0\,, \qquad \kappa_\pm \geq 0\,, \tag{39}$$

should be fulfilled. As a consequence, either both $a_\pm$, $b_\pm$ are nonnegative or both are non-positive. Finally, for $|q\rangle$ given by (38) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle q|M'_{\mathcal{O}}|q\rangle \ \equiv\ F_\pm &= \frac{2(u_1+u_2)\sqrt{\xi_\pm\kappa_\pm} + \xi_\pm\kappa_\pm w_{11} + \xi_\pm w_{12} + \kappa_\pm w_{21} + w_{22}}{(1+\kappa_\pm)(1+\xi_\pm)} \\ &= \frac{2(u_1+u_2)|a_\pm| + a_\pm^2 w_{11} + \xi_\pm w_{12} + \kappa_\pm w_{21} + w_{22}}{1+\xi_\pm+\kappa_\pm+a_\pm^2}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Taking into account vectors (35) one obtains

$$\langle g_i \otimes f_j|M'_{\mathcal{O}}|g_i\otimes f_j\rangle = w_{ij}\,. \tag{41}$$

Hence, LNR of the circulant observable $\mathcal{O}$ is given by $[\gamma_{\min},\gamma_{\max}]$, where

$$\gamma_{\min} = \min\Big\{w_{ij}, F_\pm\Big\} \tag{42}$$

$$\gamma_{\max} = \max\Big\{w_{ij}, F_\pm\Big\} \tag{43}$$

To summarize, in order to calculate LNR for a given $\mathbb{C}^2\otimes\mathbb{C}^2$ circulant operator, we propose the following procedure

1. if in a given basis a matrix representation of an operator $M_{\mathcal{O}}$ has complex or negative off-diagonal entries then change the basis due to Proposition 1 and bring the matrix to the real form,
2. determine real vectors $|x\rangle$ and $|y\rangle$ (see (38)) together with $F_\pm$ and compare these values with diagonal elements of $M'_{\mathcal{O}}$. Then LNR$\mathcal{O}$) $= [\gamma_{\min},\gamma_{\max}]$, where $\gamma_{\min}$ and $\gamma_{\max}$ are defined in (42) and (43), respectively.

Example 2 As an illustration let us consider a two-parameter family of matrices $Q_{t,s}$, $t,s\geq 0$, analyzed in [3],

$$Q_{t,s} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & t \\ 0 & 1 & s & 0 \\ 0 & s & -1 & 0 \\ t & 0 & 0 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Denoting by $p = t+s \geq 0$ one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= (1+p^2)(9+p^2) \\ a_\pm &= \frac{4p\pm\sqrt{\Delta}}{p^2-3} \\ b_\pm &= \frac{2p\pm\sqrt{\Delta}}{p^2+3} \\ \kappa_\pm &= \frac{p^4+2p^2+9\pm 2\sqrt{\Delta}}{(p^2-3)(p^2+3)} \\ \xi_\pm &= \frac{p^4+18p^2+9\pm 6\sqrt{\Delta}}{(p^2-3)(p^2+3)}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $b_+ \geq 0$ and $b_- \leq 0$, hence $a_+ \geq 0$ and $a_- \leq 0$. Finally, $|x\rangle$ and $|y\rangle$ are real under the condition $p \geq \sqrt{3}$ (see (39)) and using (40) we arrive at

$$F_\pm = \pm \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{2p}\,.$$

Because the maximal and minimal values of w_{ij} are equal to 2 and -2, respectively, due to (42) and (43) we get

$$\gamma_{\max} = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{for } 0 \leq p < \sqrt{3} \\ \dfrac{1}{2p}\sqrt{\Delta} & \text{for } p \geq \sqrt{3} \end{cases}$$

and $\gamma_{\min} = -\gamma_{\max}$ in complete agreement with the result of [3].

Appendix

We are going to carry out an extremalization procedure of (19) with two constraints $|x| = 1$, $|y| = 1$ using a Lagrange function $G = F - \lambda_1(|x|^2 - 1) - \lambda_2(|y|^2 - 1)$. As a result we get the following equations:

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial x_i} = x_i\Big[\sum_{k=1}^{d} w_{ik}y_k^2 - \lambda_1\Big] + x_{i+1}\sum_{k=1}^{d} y_k y_{k+1} u_k \cos\varphi_k \;=\; 0\,, \quad i = 1, 2 \tag{44}$$

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial y_k} = y_k\Big[\sum_{i=1}^{2} w_{ik}x_i^2 - \lambda_2\Big] + x_1 x_2\Big(y_{k-1}u_{k-1}\cos\varphi_{k-1} + y_{k+1}u_k\cos\varphi_k\Big) = 0\,,\; k = 1, \ldots, d \tag{45}$$

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial \beta_1} = x_1 x_2 \sum_{k=1}^{d} y_k y_{k+1} u_k \sin\varphi_k \;=\; 0\,, \tag{46}$$

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial \beta_k} = x_1 x_2\Big(y_k y_{k+1} u_k \sin\varphi_k - y_{k-1}y_k u_{k-1}\sin\varphi_{k-1}\Big) \;=\; 0\,, \quad k = 2, \ldots, d\,. \tag{47}$$

From the last two equations one obtains in a generic case, i.e., when $x_i \neq 0$, and $y_k \neq 0$, the following set of equations

$$\begin{cases} \displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{d} z_k &= 0 \\ z_{k-1} - z_k &= 0\,, \qquad k = 2, \ldots, d\,. \end{cases} \tag{48}$$

with $z_k = y_k y_{k+1} u_k \sin\varphi_k$ or in a matrix notation $\boldsymbol{W}^T\boldsymbol{z} = \boldsymbol{0}$, where $\boldsymbol{W}^T$ is a transposition of the matrix given by (12). Now, according to $\det\boldsymbol{W}^T = d(-1)^{d+1} \neq 0$, the set of homogeneous equations (48) has only zero solution, hence in a generic case, $\sin\varphi_k = 0$ for $k = 1, \ldots, d$. The angles β_k can now be easily obtained. It results from $\sin\varphi_k = 0$ that

$$\alpha_1 + \beta_1 + \beta_2 = 0\,, \tag{49}$$

$$\alpha_k + \beta_1 - \beta_k + \beta_{k+1} = 0\,, \quad k = 2, \ldots, d-1 \tag{50}$$

$$\alpha_d + \beta_1 - \beta_d = 0\,. \tag{51}$$

$$\tag{52}$$

or in a matrix form

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha} = -\boldsymbol{W}\boldsymbol{\beta} \tag{53}$$

with exactly the same $\boldsymbol{W}$ as in (13). Hence solutions for $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_d$ differ only by a sign from solutions for $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_d$ (see (13)) and one can easily find that

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1 &= -\frac{1}{d}\sum_{k=1}^{d}\alpha_k \;=\; -\mu_1\,, \\ \beta_2 &= -\alpha_1 - \beta_1 \;=\; -\mu_2\,, \\ \beta_{k+1} &= -\alpha_k - \beta_1 + \beta_k \;=\; -\mu_{k+1}\,, \qquad k = 2, \ldots, d-1\,. \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education Grant No 3004/B/H03/2007/33 and Grant UMK 370-F.

References

[1] R. A. Horn, C. R. Johnson, *Topics in Matrix Analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1992.

[2] P. D. Lax, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, Wiley, 2007.

[3] P. Gawron, Z. Puchała, J. A. Miszczak, Ł. Skowronek, and K. Życzkowski, *Restricted numerical range: a versatile tool in the theory of quantum information*, arXiv: 0905.3646v2.

[4] Z. Puchała, P. Gawron, J. A. Miszczak, Ł. Skowronek, Man-Duen Choi, and K. Życzkowski, *Product numerical range in space with tensor product structure*, arXiv: 1008.3482v1.

[5] T. Schulte-Herbrüggen, G. Dirr, U. Helmke, S. J. Glaser, *The significance of the C-numerical range and the local C-numerical range in quantum control and quantum information*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra **56**, 3 (2008).

[6] G. Dirr, U. Helmke, M. Kleinsteuber, T. Schulte-Herbrüggen, *Relative C-numerical ranges for applications in quantum control and quantum information*, Linear and Multilinear Algebra **56**, 27 (2008).

[7] O. Gühne, G. Toth, *Entanglement detection*, Phys. Reports **474**, 1–75 (2009).

[8] G. Toth, *Entanglement witnesses in spin models*, Phys. Rev A **71** 010301(R) (2005).

[9] R. Horodecki, P. Horodecki, M. Horodecki, K. Horodecki, *Quantum entanglement*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **81**, 865–942 (2009).

[10] J. Sperling and W. Vogel, *Necessary and sufficient conditions for bipartite entanglement*, Phys. Rev. A **79**, 022318 (2009).

[11] D. Chruściński and A. Kossakowski, *Circulant states with positive partial transpose*, Phys. Rev. A 76, 032308 (2007).

[12] D. Chruściński and A. Pittenger, *Generalized Circulant Densities and a Sufficient Condition for Separability*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. **41** (2008) 385301.

[13] D. Chruściński and A. Kossakowski, *Multipartite Circulant States with Positive Partial Transpose*, Open Sys. Information Dyn. **15** (2008) 189-212.

[14] A. R. P. Rau, *Algebraic characterization of X-states in quantum information*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **42**, 412002 (2009).

[15] F. F. Fanchini, T. Werlang, C. A. Brasil, L. G. E. Arruda, A. O. Caldeira, *Non-Markovian Dynamics of Quantum Discord*, Phys. Rev. A. **81**, 052107 (2010).

[16] M. Ali, A. R. P. Rau, G. Alber, *Quantum discord for two-qubit X-states*, Phys. Rev. A **81**, 042105 (2010).

[17] B. Bylicka, D. Chruściński, *Witnessing quantum discord in* $2 \times N$ *systems*, Phys. Rev. A **81**, 062102 (2010).

[18] Y. S. Weinstein, *Entanglement Sudden Death in Three Qubit X-States*, Phys. Rev. A **82**, 032326 (2010).

[19] D. Chruściński, A. Kossakowski, K. Młodawski, and T. Matsuoka, *A class of Bell diagonal states and entanglement witnesses*, Open Sys. Information Dyn. **17**, 235 (2010).